

Places for Everyone Representation 2021

<b>Family Name</b>	Culman
<b>Given Name</b>	Ian
<b>Person ID</b>	1286255
<b>Title</b>	Stakeholder Submission
<b>Type</b>	Web
<b>Include files</b>	<a href="#">PFE1286255.pdf</a>
<b>Family Name</b>	Culman
<b>Given Name</b>	Ian
<b>Person ID</b>	1286255
<b>Title</b>	Our Vision
<b>Type</b>	Web
<b>Include files</b>	<a href="#">PFE1286255.pdf</a>
<b>Soundness - Positively prepared?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Justified?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Consistent with national policy?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Effective?</b>	Unsound
<b>Compliance - Legally compliant?</b>	No
<b>Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?</b>	No
<b>Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.</b>	<p>per supportive documents the plan is not legally compliant.</p> <p>The 6 points detailed above for the vision are completely undermined when you replace health promoting, inspiring greenbelt with urban sprawl. Urban sprawl damages communities, promotes isolation and depression and is something people aspire to move away from more than they gravitate towards.</p>
<b>Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.</b>	<p>per supportive documents. It's not possible for the plan in its current form to be legally compliant and sound.</p> <p>Developments should be on brownfield only. it has been shown that there are enough brownfield sites to meet housing needs.</p>
<b>Family Name</b>	Culman
<b>Given Name</b>	Ian
<b>Person ID</b>	1286255
<b>Title</b>	Our Strategic Objectives

Places for Everyone Representation 2021

Type	Web
Include files	<a href="#">PFE1286255.pdf</a>
<b>Our strategic objectives - Considering the information provided for our strategic objectives, please tick which of these objectives your written comment refers to:</b>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Meet our housing need</li> <li>2. Create neighbourhoods of choice</li> <li>3. Ensure a thriving and productive economy in the districts involved</li> <li>4. Maximise the potential arising from our national and international assets</li> <li>5. Reduce inequalities and improve prosperity</li> <li>6. Promote the sustainable movement of people, goods and information</li> <li>7. Ensure that districts involved are more resilient and carbon neutral</li> <li>8. Improve the quality of our natural environment and access to green spaces</li> <li>9. Ensure access to physical and social infrastructure</li> <li>10. Promote the health and wellbeing of communities</li> </ol>
<b>Soundness - Positively prepared?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Justified?</b>	NA
<b>Soundness - Consistent with national policy?</b>	NA
<b>Soundness - Effective?</b>	NA
<b>Compliance - Legally compliant?</b>	No
<b>Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?</b>	No
<b>Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.</b>	per supportive documentation. none of the above will be achieved through the plan. The plan will only serve to reduce them all.
<b>Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.</b>	replace it with a strict brownfield only plan to meet housing needs as there are enough brownfield sites. all the other aims will be promoted through the protection of our greenspaces
<b>Family Name</b>	Culman
<b>Given Name</b>	Ian
<b>Person ID</b>	1286255
<b>Title</b>	JPA 7: Elton Reservoir Area
<b>Type</b>	Web
<b>Include files</b>	<a href="#">PFE1286255.pdf</a>

Places for Everyone Representation 2021

<b>Soundness - Positively prepared?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Justified?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Consistent with national policy?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Effective?</b>	Unsound
<b>Compliance - Legally compliant?</b>	No
<b>Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?</b>	No
<b>Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.</b>	<p>Supporting documentation uploaded. It can not meet legal requirements as the proposed houses can be entirely built using brownfield sites throughout the borough which means any development at the site will fail to comply with National Policy NPPF para 134 parts a,c and e.</p> <p>The plan"s current form can not meet soundness due to lack of public consultation, demonstrable shortcomings in the plan and better alternatives available.</p>
<b>Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.</b>	Supporting documentation has been uploaded. The entire Elton development should be removed as it is not possible for the development to be legally compliant and sound
<b>Family Name</b>	Culman
<b>Given Name</b>	Ian
<b>Person ID</b>	1286255
<b>Title</b>	JP-D1 Infrastructure Implementation
<b>Type</b>	Web
<b>Include files</b>	<a href="#">PFE1286255.pdf</a>
<b>Soundness - Positively prepared?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Justified?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Consistent with national policy?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Effective?</b>	Unsound
<b>Compliance - Legally compliant?</b>	No
<b>Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?</b>	No
<b>Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the</b>	see supporting documentation. essentially the people/authorities involved in this plan have proved themselves incapable and with no intent of delivering the coordinated approach detailed. Their actions are more about fooling the

<b>consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.</b>	public and political point scoring. this in itself is enough to show the plan as unsound.
<b>Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.</b>	honestly - remove all parties involved and replace them with competent people. the plan as it is will never deliver this.
<b>Family Name</b>	Culman
<b>Given Name</b>	Ian
<b>Person ID</b>	1286255
<b>Title</b>	JP-D2 Developer Contributions
<b>Type</b>	Web
<b>Include files</b>	<a href="#">PFE1286255.pdf</a>
<b>Soundness - Positively prepared?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Justified?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Consistent with national policy?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Effective?</b>	Unsound
<b>Compliance - Legally compliant?</b>	No
<b>Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?</b>	No
<b>Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.</b>	Developers are never held to account when they do not contribute and the strong indication from what has happened so far is that the developer is already actively seeking to avoid contributing to this.
<b>Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters</b>	Again, the plan can not deliver this regardless of amendments. what will be needed is development of brownfield sites only and for the local authorities to build the homes needed on those sites.

Places for Everyone Representation 2021

<b>you have identified above.</b>	
<b>Family Name</b>	Culman
<b>Given Name</b>	Ian
<b>Person ID</b>	1286255
<b>Title</b>	Bolton - Green Belt Additions
<b>Type</b>	Web
<b>Include files</b>	<a href="#">PFE1286255.pdf</a>
<b>GBA Bolton - Tick which Green Belt addition/s within this District your response relates to - then respond to the questions below</b>	Bolton GBA01 Ditchers Farm, Westhoughton Bolton GBA02 Horwich Golf Club / Knowles Farm
<b>Soundness - Positively prepared?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Justified?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Consistent with national policy?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Effective?</b>	Unsound
<b>Compliance - Legally compliant?</b>	No
<b>Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?</b>	No
<b>Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.</b>	most of it has simply been added to trick the public into thinking less overall greenbelt will be lost and not for the purposes detailed above
<b>Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.</b>	there will need to be a specific assessment by a knowledgeable but independent party to check if any of these sites will meet the purposes detailed above. It will be essential that site selection process is transparent for each site too.
<b>Family Name</b>	Culman
<b>Given Name</b>	Ian
<b>Person ID</b>	1286255
<b>Title</b>	Bury - Green Belt Additions

Places for Everyone Representation 2021

Type	Web
Include files	<a href="#">PFE1286255.pdf</a>
<b>GBA Bury - Tick which Green Belt addition/s within this District your response relates to - then respond to the questions below</b>	<p>Bury GBA03 Pigs Lea Brook 1</p> <p>Bury GBA04 North of Nuttall Park</p> <p>Bury GBA05 Pigs Lea Brook 2</p> <p>Bury GBA06 Hollins Brook</p> <p>Bury GBA07 Off New Road, Radcliffe</p> <p>Bury GBA08 Hollins Brow</p> <p>Bury GBA09 Hollybank Street, Radcliffe</p> <p>Bury GBA10 Crow Lumb Wood</p> <p>Bury GBA11 Nuttall West, Ramsbottom</p> <p>Bury GBA12 Woolfold, Bury</p> <p>Bury GBA13 Nuttall East, Ramsbottom</p> <p>Bury GBA14 Chesham, Bury</p> <p>Bury GBA15 Broad Hey Wood North</p> <p>Bury GBA16 Lower Hinds</p>
<b>Soundness - Positively prepared?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Justified?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Consistent with national policy?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Effective?</b>	Unsound
<b>Compliance - Legally compliant?</b>	No
<b>Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?</b>	No
<b>Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.</b>	most of it has simply been added to trick the public into thinking less overall greenbelt will be lost and not for the purposes detailed above.
<b>Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.</b>	there will need to be a specific assessment by a knowledgeable but independent party to check if any of these sites will meet the purposes detailed above. It will be essential that site selection process is transparent for each site too.
<b>Family Name</b>	Culman
<b>Given Name</b>	Ian

Places for Everyone Representation 2021

<b>Person ID</b>	1286255
<b>Title</b>	Oldham - Green Belt Additions
<b>Type</b>	Web
<b>Include files</b>	<a href="#">PFE1286255.pdf</a>
<b>GBA Oldham - Tick which Green Belt addition/s within this District your response relates to - then respond to the questions below</b>	Oldham GBA17 Land behind Denshaw Village Hall
<b>Soundness - Positively prepared?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Justified?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Consistent with national policy?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Effective?</b>	Unsound
<b>Compliance - Legally compliant?</b>	No
<b>Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?</b>	No
<b>Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.</b>	most of it has simply been added to trick the public into thinking less overall greenbelt will be lost and not for the purposes detailed above
<b>Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.</b>	there will need to be a specific assessment by a knowledgeable but independent party to check if any of these sites will meet the purposes detailed above. It will be essential that site selection process is transparent for each site too.
<b>Family Name</b>	Culman
<b>Given Name</b>	Ian
<b>Person ID</b>	1286255
<b>Title</b>	Rochdale - Green Belt Additions
<b>Type</b>	Web
<b>Include files</b>	<a href="#">PFE1286255.pdf</a>
<b>GBA Rochdale - Tick which Green Belt addition/s within this</b>	Rochdale GBA18 Land within the Roch Valley, Smallbridge Rochdale GBA19 Land to west of Stakehill Business Park

Places for Everyone Representation 2021

<b>District your response relates to - then respond to the questions below</b>	Rochdale GBA20 Land at Firgrove Playing Fields, Rochdale Rochdale GBA21 Land between railway line and Rochdale Canal, Littleborough Rochdale GBA22 Land north of St Andrew's Church, Dearnley Rochdale GBA23 Land at Townhouse Brook, Littleborough Rochdale GBA24 Land north of Shore, Littleborough Rochdale GBA25 Land at Summit, Heywood
<b>Soundness - Positively prepared?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Justified?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Consistent with national policy?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Effective?</b>	Unsound
<b>Compliance - Legally compliant?</b>	No
<b>Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?</b>	No
<b>Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.</b>	most of it has simply been added to trick the public into thinking less overall greenbelt will be lost and not for the purposes detailed above
<b>Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.</b>	there will need to be a specific assessment by a knowledgeable but independent party to check if any of these sites will meet the purposes detailed above. It will be essential that site selection process is transparent for each site too.
<b>Family Name</b>	Culman
<b>Given Name</b>	Ian
<b>Person ID</b>	1286255
<b>Title</b>	Salford - Green Belt Additions
<b>Type</b>	Web
<b>Include files</b>	<a href="#">PFE1286255.pdf</a>
<b>GBA Salford - Tick which Green Belt addition/s within this District your response relates to - then respond to the questions below</b>	Salford GBA26 Land South East of Slack Brook Open Space Salford GBA27 West Salford Greenway Salford GBA28 Part of Logistics North Country Park Salford GBA29 Land West of Burgess Farm Salford GBA30 Blackleach Country Park



Places for Everyone Representation 2021

<b>Soundness - Positively prepared?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Justified?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Consistent with national policy?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Effective?</b>	Unsound
<b>Compliance - Legally compliant?</b>	No
<b>Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?</b>	No
<b>Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.</b>	most of it has simply been added to trick the public into thinking less overall greenbelt will be lost and not for the purposes detailed above
<b>Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.</b>	there will need to be a specific assessment by a knowledgeable but independent party to check if any of these sites will meet the purposes detailed above. It will be essential that site selection process is transparent for each site too.
<b>Family Name</b>	Culman
<b>Given Name</b>	Ian
<b>Person ID</b>	1286255
<b>Title</b>	Tameside - Green Belt Additions
<b>Type</b>	Web
<b>Include files</b>	<a href="#">PFE1286255.pdf</a>
<b>GBA Tameside - Tick which Green Belt addition/s within this District your response relates to - then respond to the questions below</b>	<p>Tameside GBA31 Fox Platt, Mossley</p> <p>Tameside GBA32 Manor Farm Close, Waterloo, Ashton-under-Lyne</p> <p>Tameside GBA33 Ridge Hill Lane, Ridge Hill, Stalybridge</p> <p>Tameside GBA34 Cowbury Green, Long Row, Carrbrook, Stalybridge</p> <p>Tameside GBA35 Woodview, South View, Carrbrook, Stalybridge</p> <p>Tameside GBA36 Yew Tree Lane, Dukinfield</p> <p>Tameside GBA37 Broadbottom Road, Broadbottom</p> <p>Tameside GBA38 Ardenfield, Haughton Green, Denton</p> <p>Tameside GBA39 Cemetery Road, Denton</p> <p>Tameside GBA40 Hyde Road, Mottram</p> <p>Tameside GBA41 Ashworth Lane, Mottram</p> <p>Tameside GBA42 Horses Field, Danebank, Denton</p>

Places for Everyone Representation 2021

<b>Soundness - Positively prepared?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Justified?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Consistent with national policy?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Effective?</b>	Unsound
<b>Compliance - Legally compliant?</b>	No
<b>Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?</b>	No
<b>Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.</b>	most of it has simply been added to trick the public into thinking less overall greenbelt will be lost and not for the purposes detailed above
<b>Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.</b>	there will need to be a specific assessment by a knowledgeable but independent party to check if any of these sites will meet the purposes detailed above. It will be essential that site selection process is transparent for each site too.
<b>Family Name</b>	Culman
<b>Given Name</b>	Ian
<b>Person ID</b>	1286255
<b>Title</b>	Trafford - Green Belt Additions
<b>Type</b>	Web
<b>Include files</b>	<a href="#">PFE1286255.pdf</a>
<b>GBA Trafford - Tick which Green Belt addition/s within this District your response relates to - then respond to the questions below</b>	Trafford GBA43 Midlands Farm, Moss Lane
<b>Soundness - Positively prepared?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Justified?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Consistent with national policy?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Effective?</b>	Unsound

Places for Everyone Representation 2021

<b>Compliance - Legally compliant?</b>	No
<b>Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?</b>	No
<b>Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.</b>	most of it has simply been added to trick the public into thinking less overall greenbelt will be lost and not for the purposes detailed above
<b>Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.</b>	there will need to be a specific assessment by a knowledgeable but independent party to check if any of these sites will meet the purposes detailed above. It will be essential that site selection process is transparent for each site too.
<b>Family Name</b>	Culman
<b>Given Name</b>	Ian
<b>Person ID</b>	1286255
<b>Title</b>	Wigan - Green Belt Additions
<b>Type</b>	Web
<b>Include files</b>	<a href="#">PFE1286255.pdf</a>
<b>GBA Wigan - Tick which Green Belt addition/s within this District your response relates to - then respond to the questions below</b>	Wigan GBA44 Land off Fir Tree Street, Ince Wigan GBA45 Pennington FC Pitches, Howe Bridge, Atherton Wigan GBA46 Hope Carr Nature Reserve, Leigh Wigan GBA47 Crow Orchard Road, Standish Wigan GBA48 North Bradley Lane, Standish Wigan GBA49 Coppull Lane, Wigan
<b>Soundness - Positively prepared?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Justified?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Consistent with national policy?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Effective?</b>	Unsound
<b>Compliance - Legally compliant?</b>	No
<b>Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?</b>	No
<b>Redacted reasons - Please give us details</b>	most of it has simply been added to trick the public into thinking less overall greenbelt will be lost and not for the purposes detailed above

<b>of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.</b>	
<b>Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.</b>	there will need to be a specific assessment by a knowledgeable but independent party to check if any of these sites will meet the purposes detailed above. It will be essential that site selection process is transparent for each site too.
<b>Family Name</b>	Culman
<b>Given Name</b>	Ian
<b>Person ID</b>	1286255
<b>Title</b>	Supporting Evidence
<b>Type</b>	Web
<b>Include files</b>	<a href="#">PFE1286255.pdf</a>
<b>Redacted comment on supporting documents - Please give details of why you consider any of the evidence not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.</b>	my own supporting documents answer this question